

University of Udine

Heisenberg-Inspired Quantum Automata

Carla Piazza¹ Riccardo Romanello¹

¹ UNIVERSITY OF UDINE, ITALY

July 16, 2022



- ▶ Quantum Computation is based on **Hilbert spaces**.
- ▶ We consider only finite dim. Hilbert spaces, i.e., vector spaces over \mathbb{C} .
- ▶ Column vectors are denoted by $|v\rangle$. Row vectors are denoted by $\langle v|$.
- ▶ States are **unitary vectors** $|v\rangle$.
- ▶ The systems evolve with linear **unitary transformations** U .

Example 1

$$|v\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle \qquad U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$|v'\rangle = U|v\rangle = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle.$$



- ▶ **Measurements** allow to extract information from quantum states.
- ▶ The extracted information is always **classic** (i.e., bits).
- ▶ Measurement operations are done through **Hermitian** operators.
- ▶ Before measuring, we can only compute the **probability** of seeing some outcome.

Example 2

$$|v\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle \qquad P = |0\rangle\langle 0| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Probability of measuring 0 is $\|P|v\rangle\|^2 = |\alpha|^2$.

Definition 3 (Measure-Once QFA [4])

A QFA is a 5-tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \mathcal{U}, |\psi\rangle, F)$ where:

- ▶ Q is the finite canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^d for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$;
- ▶ Σ is a finite **alphabet**;
- ▶ $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ is a finite set of **unitaries** of dimension $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$;
- ▶ $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is the **initial** superposition of M ;
- ▶ $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of **final states**. We define $P_F = \sum_{|q\rangle \in F} |q\rangle\langle q|$.

Example 4

$M = (Q, \Sigma, \mathcal{U}, |\psi\rangle, F)$ where $Q = \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$, $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{R(\theta), R(-\theta)\}$, $|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle$, and $F = \{|1\rangle\}$ is an example of MO-QFA.



- ▶ The unitary applied to the initial state for input $x \in \Sigma^*$ is

$$U_x = U_{x_n} U_{x_{n-1}} \cdots U_{x_1}$$

- ▶ The **probability** of a MO-QFA S to **accept** a string x is:

$$p_S(x) = \|P_F U_x |\psi\rangle\|^2 = \langle \psi | U_x^\dagger P_F^\dagger P_F U_x |\psi \rangle$$

Definition 5 (Cut-point QFA)

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is *accepted* by a QFA S with *cut-point* λ if and only if $L = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma^* \mid p_S(\mathbf{x}) > \lambda\}$.

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is said to be *accepted* by a QFA with *cut-point* if and only if there exist a QFA S and $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is accepted by S with *cut-point* λ .

Example 6

$M = (Q, \Sigma, \mathcal{U}, |\psi\rangle, F)$ where $Q = \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$, $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{R(\theta), R(-\theta)\}$, $|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle$, and $F = \{|1\rangle\}$. M accepts the language $L = \{x \in \Sigma^* : |x|_a \neq |x|_b\}$ with cut-point 0.



Theorem 7 ([3])

Let L be a language accepted by a QFA S with cut-point λ . There exists a Probabilistic Finite Automaton that accepts L with cut-point λ' .

Using a pumping lemma from [2], QFAs enjoy the following property:

Corollary 8

QFAs can accept only languages that are either empty or infinite.



A perspective switch

Quantum Mechanics can be described through two **pictures**:

- ▶ **Schrödinger** picture, in which state evolves and observables are fixed.
- ▶ **Heisenberg** picture, where the state is fixed and observables change.

- ▶ An Heisenberg Quantum Finite Automata (HQFA) is defined as MO-QFA.

- ▶ The main difference is in its semantics.

- ▶ P_F is the current observable, σ the input symbol.

$$P'_F = U_\sigma^\dagger P_F U_\sigma.$$

- ▶ The probability of a HQFA \mathcal{H} to accept a string $x \in \Sigma^*$ is:

$$\rho_H(\mathbf{x}) = \|U_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger P_F U_{\mathbf{x}} |\psi\rangle\|^2 = \langle \psi | U_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger P_F^\dagger P_F U_{\mathbf{x}} |\psi \rangle$$

Example 9

$M = (Q, \Sigma, \mathcal{U}, |\psi\rangle, F)$ where $Q = \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$, $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{X, H\}$, $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$, and $F = \{|0\rangle\}$ is an example of HQFA.

From the definitions of HQFA and MO-QFA, it is natural to notice that the relation between the two models is closely related to the notion of \check{L} .

Theorem 10 (Mirror language)

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^$. L is accepted by a QFA with cut-point λ if and only if \check{L} is accepted by an HQFA with cut-point λ .*

We now prove that QFAs are closed under the **reverse** operation.

Theorem 11 (Mirror Closure of QFAs)

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^$. L is accepted by a QFA with cut-point if and only if \check{L} is accepted a QFA with cut-point.*

Corollary 12 (Equivalence between QFAs and HQFAs)

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^$. L is accepted by a QFA with cut-point if and only if L is accepted by an HQFA with cut-point.*

- ▶ Together with this result, in our proposal we also investigated **some other models** of Quantum Automata.
- ▶ In particular, we improved the current knowledge about **Multi-letter Quantum Finite Automata** [1].
- ▶ We also proposed a more general model that **combines the ideas** of Heisenberg and Multi-letter automata.

- [1] **Aleksandrs Belovs, Ansis Rosmanis, and Juris Smotrovs.**
Multi-letter reversible and quantum finite automata.
In International Conference on Developments in Language Theory, pages 60–71. Springer, 2007.
- [2] **Alberto Bertoni and Marco Carpentieri.**
Analogies and differences between quantum and stochastic automata.
Theoretical Computer Science, 262(1-2):69–81, 2001.
- [3] **Alex Brodsky and Nicholas Pippenger.**
Characterizations of 1-way quantum finite automata.
SIAM Journal on Computing, 31(5):1456–1478, 2002.
- [4] **Cristopher Moore and James P Crutchfield.**
Quantum automata and quantum grammars.
Theoretical Computer Science, 237(1-2):275–306, 2000.